



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,164	03/07/2001	Norbert W. Bischofberger	172.2USDC2	7772	
7	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Max D. Hensley			LUKTON, DAVID		
Gilead Sciences, Inc. 333 Lakeside Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Foster City, C.	Foster City, CA 94404			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Communication Re: Appeal	09/801,164	BISCHOFBERGER ET AL.
Communication Ne. Appear	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Lukton	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not a	acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b)  the statutory fee for filing the appeal w	vas not submitted. See 37 CFR	1.17(b).
(c) the appeal fee received on was	s not timely filed.	
(d)  the submitted fee of \$ is insuffice	cient. The appeal fee required by	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 3 rejection in this application.	7 CFR 1.191 in that there is no r	ecord of a second or a final
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	mailed by the Office on	
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acco	eptable for the reason(s) indicate	ed below:
(a)  the brief and/or brief fee is untimely.	See 37 CFR 1.192.	
(b)  the statutory fee for filing the brief has	not been submitted. See 37 CF	FR 1.17(c).
(c)  the submitted brief fee of \$ is in	sufficient. The brief fee required	by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dismissibrief and requisite fee. Extensions of time n		
3.  The appeal in this application is DISMISSE	D because:	
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as reperiod for obtaining an extension of tires.		
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the p CFR 1.136 has expired.	eriod for obtaining an extension	of time to file the brief under 37
(c) Request for Continued Examination (I	RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was f	iled on
On 3/30/04, Mark Bosse confirmed	I that the application has been ab	andoned.
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this	application:	•
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ is abandoned because there are no al	lowed claims.	
<ul><li>(b) is before the examiner for final disposi on the merits remains CLOSED.</li></ul>	tion because it contains allowed	claims. Prosecution
(c) is before the examiner for consideration to 37 CFR 1.114.	on of the submission and prosecu	
D. Luklar 4/21/04		CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800